Case 4:21-cv-02045 Document 219 Filed on 10/29/24 in TXSD Page 1 of 7

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

October 30, 2024
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter came before the Court pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice ("Preliminary Approval Order") dated June 27, 2024, on the application of the parties for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated June 3, 2024 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Class.
- 3. Excluded from the Class is any Person who would otherwise be a Member of the Class but who validly and timely requested exclusion in accordance with the requirements set by the Court, as identified in Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that:
- (a) said Stipulation and the Settlement contained therein are, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the Class;
 - (b) there was no collusion in connection with the Stipulation;
- (c) the Stipulation was the product of informed, arm's-length negotiations among competent, able counsel; and
- (d) the record is sufficiently developed and complete to have enabled Plaintiffs and Defendants to have adequately evaluated and considered their respective positions.

- 5. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation and performance of all the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. Except as to any individual claim of those Persons (identified in Exhibit 1 attached hereto) who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Class, the Court hereby dismisses the Litigation and all claims asserted therein with prejudice. The Settling Parties are to bear their own costs, except as and to the extent provided in the Stipulation and herein.
- 6. Upon the Effective Date, and as provided in the Stipulation, Plaintiffs shall have, and each and every Releasing Plaintiff Party shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released, relinquished, discharged, and dismissed each and every one of the all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims) against each and every one of the Released Defendant Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim or shares in the Net Settlement Fund. Claims to enforce the terms of the Stipulation are not released.
- 7. Upon the Effective Date, and as provided in the Stipulation, the Releasing Plaintiff Parties will be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any forum (including, but not limited to, any state or federal court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum), asserting the Released Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties.
- 8. Upon the Effective Date, and as provided in the Stipulation, each of the Released Defendant Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Defendants' Claims (including Unknown Claims) against Plaintiffs, the Class, and Plaintiffs' Counsel. Claims to enforce the terms of the Stipulation are not released.

- 9. The notice of the pendency and proposed Settlement of the Litigation given to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all Members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process. No Class Member is relieved from the terms of the Settlement, including the releases provided for therein, based upon the contention or proof that such Class Member failed to receive actual or adequate notice. A full opportunity has been offered to Class Members to object to the proposed Settlement and to participate in the hearing thereon. The Court further finds that the notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1715, were fully discharged and that the statutory waiting period has elapsed. Thus, the Court hereby determines that all Class Members are bound by this Judgment.
- 10. The Escrow Agent shall maintain the Settlement Fund in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Stipulation. No Released Defendant Party shall have any liability, obligation, or responsibility whatsoever for the administration of the Settlement or disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund.
- 11. Any Plan of Allocation submitted by Class Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.
- 12. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is, or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants or Defendants' Released

Persons, (b) is, or shall be deemed to be, or shall be used as an admission of any fault or omission of any Released Defendant Party in any statement, release, or written documents issued, filed, or made, or (c) is, or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants or Defendants' Released Persons in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. The Defendants and/or their respective Released Persons may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment from this Litigation in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 13. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest in the Litigation; and (d) all parties herein for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation.
- 14. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 15. The Court's orders entered during the course of the Litigation relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Settlement.
- 16. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to Defendants or their insurers, then this Judgment shall

Case 4:21-cv-02045 Document 219 Filed on 10/29/24 in TXSD Page 6 of 7

be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and

shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith

shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation, and the

Settling Parties shall revert to their respective positions in the Litigation as of April 29, 2024, as

provided in the Stipulation.

17. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable

extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.

18. The Court directs immediate entry of this Judgment by the Clerk of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

THE HONORABLE LEE H. ROSENTHAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Exhibit 1

List of Persons Excluded from the Class Pursuant to Request

- 1. Charles Jackel Atascosa, TX
- 2. Albert Wong